

## DRUGS FOR VETERINARY USE\*

**1993. Misbranding of Medicrude. U. S. v. Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation. Plea of nolo contendere. Judgment of guilty. Fine, \$500. (F. D. C. No. 20161. Sample No. 18748-H.)**

**INFORMATION FILED:** August 8, 1946, Northern District of Oklahoma, against the Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation, Tulsa, Okla.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** From the State of Oklahoma into the State of Minnesota. The article was shipped on or about March 10, 1945, and a number of circulars entitled "For the Farm Diamond D-X Lubricants and Fuels and Other Products" and "Medicrude For the Treatment of Mange and Other Ailments of Hogs and Other Livestock" were shipped prior to that date.

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements on the label and in the circulars and certain designs in the circulars illustrating disease or abnormal conditions affecting hogs were false and misleading in that they represented and created the impression that the article would be effective in the treatment of demodectic or follicular type of mange which commonly infests hogs; that it would be effective against lice which infest poultry; that it would be of value in cleaning out lice from poultry houses; and that the article, when used as directed, would be effective in the control in hogs of flu, colds, constipation, worms, elephant hide, bull nose, and other ailments of hogs. The article would not be effective for such purposes.

**DISPOSITION:** August 29, 1946. A plea of nolo contendere having been entered on behalf of the defendant, the court found the defendant guilty as charged and imposed a fine of \$500.

**1994. Misbranding of Sulfasol. U. S. v. 6 Bottles and 106 Bottles of Sulfasol, and a number of circulars and posters. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 20252. Sample No. 51519-H.)**

**LABEL FILED:** June 19, 1946, District of South Dakota.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about May 15, 1946, by the Sibley Veterinary Supply Co., Sibley, Iowa.

**PRODUCT:** 6 ½-gallon bottles and 106 pint bottles of *Sulfasol* at Sioux City, S. Dak.; also a number of circulars entitled "Now! Sulfa in Liquid Form for Poultry" and a number of posters entitled "Sulfasol the Sulfa Drug for Poultry." Analyses showed that the article contained, as declared, essentially 18.75 grams of sodium sulfathiazole per fluid ounce.

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the following statements and designs were false and misleading: (Label) "An Aid in the Control of Colds"; (circular) "An Aid in the Control of Colds or Coryza in Poultry \* \* \* a valuable aid in controlling Colds or Coryza in infected flocks. \* \* \* Sulfasol—for Colds or Coryza \* \* \* Used effectively as an aid in the Control of Colds or Coryza \* \* \*"; (poster) [drawing of the head of a sick chicken having a towel pinned around the neck, and showing symptoms of a bad head cold] "An Aid in the Control of Colds or Coryza." The product, when used as directed, would not be effective in the treatment of colds or coryza in poultry.

**DISPOSITION:** July 23, 1946. No claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the drug and the circulars were ordered destroyed.

**1995. Misbranding of Flick. U. S. v. 81 Bottles of Flick. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 20240. Sample No. 52945-H.)**

**LABEL FILED:** June 10, 1946, Southern District of Ohio.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about March 19, 26, and 27, 1946, by the Garden Products Co., from St. Louis, Mo.

**PRODUCT:** 45 3-ounce and 36 6-ounce bottles of *Flick* at Cincinnati, Ohio. Analysis showed that the product consisted essentially of a suspension of a small amount of rotenone in a mixture of pine oil and sulfonated oils.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Flick Hygienic Dip For Dogs."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Misbranding, Section 502(a), the following statements on the bottle label were false and misleading: "Relieves Eczema, Mange, Sores, Itching, Scratches and Skin Irritations Removes 'Doggy Odor' Produces a glossy coat. Keeps skin healthy. \* \* \* highly efficient for maintaining dog health \* \* \* Harmless to the skin \* \* \* Eczema, Mange, Sores, itching and other skin irritations are relieved by applying Flick full strength on

\*See also Nos. 1963, 1966.