

the article had been shipped on or about September 20, 1918, by the Evans Drug Manufacturing Co., Greensburg, Pa., and transported from the State of Pennsylvania into the State of Kentucky, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in part: "100 Soluble Elastic Capsules Guaranteed Weather-Proof and Non-Collapsible Santal Oil East India 10 Min. Each Capsule Contains Sandalwood Oil 10 Min. East India Evans Drug Mfg. Co. Incorporated Soft Capsules Greensburg, Pa."

Analysis of a sample of the product made in the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that the average net contents of the capsules was 7.4 minims, and that 68 per cent of the contents consisted of nonvolatile oil, chiefly cottonseed oil.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it was sold under and by a name recognized in the United States Pharmacopœia, and did not comply with the tests therein laid down, and in that its strength and purity fell below the professed standard and quality under which it was sold.

Misbranding of the article was alleged for the reason that the above-quoted statements, borne on the labels of the boxes, were false and misleading, and in that the product was an imitation of, and was offered for sale under the name of, another article.

On June 3, 1919, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product should be destroyed by the United States marshal.

E. D. BALL,

*Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**7086. Adulteration and misbranding of salol and santal. U. S. \* \* \* v. 50 Boxes of Salol and Santal. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 9677. I. S. No. 5884-r. S. No. C-1052.)**

On February 7, 1919, the United States attorney for the Western District of Kentucky, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 50 boxes, each containing 100 capsules of salol and santal, remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at Louisville, Ky., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about June 1, 1918, by the Evans Drug Manufacturing Co., Greensburg, Pa., and transported from the State of Pennsylvania into the State of Kentucky, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled, "100 Soluble Elastic Capsules Guaranteed Weather-Proof and Non-collapsible. Salol and Santal Salol—5 grs. Santal Oil (East India) qs 10 min. Serial No. 22524. Guaranteed under the Food and Drugs Act, June 30, 1906 Evans Drug Co., Incorporated Manufacturing Pharmacists Greensburg, Pa."

Analysis of a sample of this product by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed the average net contents of the capsules to be 7.8 minims, and that 58 per cent of the contents of the capsules consisted of cottonseed oil.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that its strength and purity fell below the professed standard and quality under which it was sold.

Misbranding of the article was alleged for the reason that the above-quoted statements, borne on the labels of the boxes, were false and misleading, and in that the product was an imitation of, and was offered for sale under the name of, another article.

On June 3, 1919, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product should be destroyed by the United States marshal.

E. D. BALL,

*Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**7087. Adulteration and misbranding of santal oil. U. S. \* \* \* v. 66 Boxes and 48 Boxes of Santal Oil. Consent decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction.** (F. & D. Nos. 9679, 9680. I. S. Nos. 6179-r, 6180-r, 6181-r. S. No. C-1053.)

On February 8, 1919, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Ohio, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district libels for the seizure and condemnation of 66 boxes and 48 boxes, each containing 100 capsules of santal oil, remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at Cleveland, O., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about July 20, 1918, and November 20, 1918, by the Evans Drug Mfg. Co., Greensburg, Pa., and transported from the State of Pennsylvania into the State of Ohio, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in part: "100 Soluble Elastic Capsules Guaranteed Weather-proof and Non-collapsible Santal Oil East India 10 Min. Each capsule contains sandalwood oil 10 Min. East India Evans Drug Mfg. Co. Incorporated Soft Capsules, Greensburg, Pa. 100 E. I. Santal Oil 10 Min."

Analyses of samples by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that the average contents of the capsules was 7.2 and 7.8 minims, respectively, and that 71 per cent and 72 per cent, respectively, of the contents consisted of a nonvolatile oil, chiefly cottonseed oil.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libels for the reason that it was sold under and by a name recognized in the United States Pharmacopœia and differed from the standard of strength, quality, and purity as determined by the tests laid down in said Pharmacopœia, official at the time of investigation, and in that its strength fell below the professed standard and quality under which it was sold.

Misbranding of the article was alleged for the reason that the label, to wit, "Capsules \* \* \* Santal Oil East India 10 Min.," was false and misleading in that such labeling indicated that the contents of said boxes was santal oil, whereas the product contained 72 per cent or 71 per cent nonvolatile oil, chiefly cottonseed oil, and showed an average shortage of 2.2 minims or 2.8 minims, as the case might be. Misbranding of the article was alleged for the further reason that it was an imitation of, and was offered for sale under the name of, another article.

On March 12, 1919, the Evans Drug Mfg. Co., Greensburg, Pa., claimant, having filed answers admitting the truth of the allegations in the libels, judgments of condemnation and forfeiture were entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product should be destroyed by the United States marshal.

E. D. BALL,

*Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**7088. Adulteration and misbranding of santal oil. U. S. \* \* \* v. 36 Boxes of Santal Oil. Consent decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction.** (F. & D. No. 9682. I. S. No. 6177-r. S. No. C-1055.)

On February 8, 1919, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Ohio, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure