

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that being labeled in part "Half Gallon," whereas examinations showed shortages, the said statement was false and misleading, and deceived and misled the purchaser. Misbranding of the article was alleged for the further reason that it was food in package form, and the quantity of the contents was not declared.

On July 17, 1919, Swinger & Binstock, Philadelphia, Pa., claimant, having consented to a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to said claimant upon the payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$55, in conformity with section 10 of the act.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

7454. Misbranding of Santal Midy. U. S. * * * v. 420 Bottles of * * * Santal Midy. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. Nos. 10645, 10732. I. S. Nos. 2801-r, 2802-r. S. Nos. W-426, W-427.)

On or about June 21, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Colorado, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 420 bottles of Santal Midy, consigned by E. Fougere & Co., New York, N. Y., remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at Denver, Colo., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about September 28, 1918, March 10, 1919, and May 1, 1919, and transported from the State of New York into the State of Colorado, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended. The article was labeled in part: "Santal Midy * * * Essential oil of Sandalwood * * * prepared by Midy's process from freshly-felled Mysore sandalwood * * * there has been proved the value of this drug in the treatment of gonorrhœa, gleet and discharges from the urinary organs * * *."

Analysis of a sample of the article made in the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it consisted essentially of santal oil.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in substance in the libel for the reason that certain statements borne on the circular wrapped with and accompanying each bottle, regarding the curative and therapeutic effects of the article as a treatment for gonorrhœa, gleet, discharges of the urinary organs, inflammation of the bladder, suppurative nephritis, catarrh of the bladder, vesical catarrh of old age, and certain other diseases, were false and fraudulent, and were calculated to deceive and mislead the purchaser, in that the article contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing any of the curative or therapeutic effects claimed for it.

On July 22, 1919, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

7455. Adulteration of shell eggs. U. S. * * * v. 305 Cases of Shell Eggs. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Good portion ordered released on bond. Unfit portion ordered destroyed. (F. & D. No. 10648. I. S. Nos. 13301-r, 13302-r. S. No. E-1573.)

On June 19, 1919, the United States attorney for the Western District of Pennsylvania, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 305 cases of shell eggs, remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at Pittsburgh, Pa., alleging that the article had been