aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of eggs which were adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal and vegetable substance.

On September 19, 1919, the defendant corporation having failed to make an appearance, the $200 collateral that had been deposited by it to insure its appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

8268. Adulteration of eggs. U. S. * * * v. Meyer Shuman. Collateral of $25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 520-c.)

On September 30, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Meyer Shuman, Washington, D. C., alleging that on September 4, 1919, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of eggs which were adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal and vegetable substance.

On September 30, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the $25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

8269. Adulteration of minced ham. U. S. * * * v. Harry H. Harris. Collateral of $50 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 521-c.)

On October 1, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Harry H. Harris, Washington, D. C., alleging that on August 29, 1919, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of minced ham which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal and vegetable substance.

On October 1, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the $50 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

8270. Adulteration of ham. U. S. * * * v. Dommico Torre. Collateral of $25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 522-c.)

On October 2, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Dommico Terre, Washington, D. C., alleging that on August 16. 1919, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of ham which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal and vegetable substance.