

S274. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. John Cassell. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 526-c.)

On November 3, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against John Cassell, Calverton, Va., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on August 22, 1919, from the State of Virginia into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that a valuable constituent of the article, to wit, butter fat, had been wholly or in part abstracted.

On November 3, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

S275. Adulteration of meat. U. S. * * * v. Morris Leventhal. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25 and costs. (F. & D. No. 527-c.)

On November 6, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Morris Leventhal, Washington, D. C., alleging that on October 31, 1919, the said defendant did offer for sale at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of meat which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal and vegetable substance.

On November 6, 1919, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$25 and costs.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

S276. Adulteration of meat. U. S. * * * v. Isaac Mostow. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25 and costs. (F. & D. No. 528-c.)

On November 6, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Isaac Mostow, Washington, D. C., alleging that on October 21, 1919, the said defendant did offer for sale at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of meat which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal and vegetable substance.

On November 6, 1919, a plea of guilty to the information was entered by the defendant, and the court imposed a fine of \$25 and costs.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

S277. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. Winfield S. Cowne. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 529-c.)

On November 14, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Winfield S. Cowne, Midland, Va., alleging the shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on November 3, 1919, from the State of Virginia into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it had been mixed and packed with a substance, to wit, water, which reduced and lowered and injuriously affected its quality.

On November 14, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

S278. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. Horace E. Smith. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 530-c.)

On November 14, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Horace E. Smith, Doubs, Md., alleging the shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on October 10, 1919, from the State of Maryland into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal and vegetable substance.

On November 14, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

S279. Adulteration of lard. U. S. * * * v. Thomas J. Bundick. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 531-c.)

On November 24, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Thomas J. Bundick, Washington, D. C., alleging that on November 22, 1919, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of lard which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it contained an added ingredient which lowered and reduced its quality.

On November 24, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

S280. Adulteration of meat. U. S. * * * v. Isaiah Cunningham. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 532-c.)

On November 25, 1919, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Isaiah Cunningham, Washington, D. C., alleging that on November 14, 1919, the said defendant did offer for sale at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of meat which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal and vegetable substance.

On November 25, 1919, the defendant having failed to appear, the \$25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*