Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain other substance, to wit, oleomargarine, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality.

On March 1, 1920, the defendant having failed to appear, the $25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

8303. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. John U. Baker. Collateral of $25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 555-c.)

On March 3, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against John U. Baker, Gaithersburg, Md., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on February 9, 1920, from the State of Maryland into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain substance, to wit, water, had been mixed with the article, thereby reducing and lowering its quality and strength.

On March 3, 1920, the defendant having failed to appear, the $25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

8304. Adulteration of oysters. U. S. * * * v. Golden & Co. Collateral of $50 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 556-c.)

On March 30, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Golden & Co., a corporation, Washington, D. C., alleging that on January 21, 1920, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of oysters which were adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain other substance, to wit, water, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality.

On March 30, 1920, the defendant corporation having failed to enter an appearance, the $50 collateral that had been deposited by it to insure its appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

8305. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. Charles F. Rougeon. Collateral of $25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 557-c.)

On April 30, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the health officer of said District, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Charles F. Rougeon, Washington, D. C., alleging that on April 22, 1920, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell at the District aforesaid, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that a certain valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butter fat, had been abstracted therefrom in whole or in part.

On April 30, 1920, the defendant having failed to appear, the $25 collateral that had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was ordered forfeited by the court.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*