**12591. Misbranding of cottonseed oil. U. S. v. 5 Cases of Cottonseed Oil. Decree entered, finding product to be misbranded and ordering its release under bond, to be relabeled.** (F. & D. No. 16614. I. S. No. 14319-t. S. No. W-1144.)

On July 29, 1922, the United States attorney for the District of Utah, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 5 cases of cottonseed oil at Salt Lake City, Utah, alleging that the article had been shipped by the Procter & Gamble Co. from Brighton, Ohio, on or about July 30, 1921, and transported from the State of Ohio into the State of Utah, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended. The article was labeled in part: (Can) "One Quarter Gallon Net Measure Winter Pressed Pure Cotton Seed Oil Puritan Salad and Cooking Oil * * * The Procter and Gamble Co. Cincinnati, U. S. A."

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that the statement on the label, "One Quarter Gallon Net Measure," was false and misleading in that the net contents of the said cans was less than one quarter gallon net. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On March 22, 1923, the Procter & Gamble Co., Cincinnati, Ohio, claimant, having paid the costs of the proceedings and executed a bond in the sum of $100, in conformity with section 10 of the act, a decree of the court was entered, finding the product to be misbranded and ordering that it be released to the claimant to be relabeled.

HOWARD M. GORE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

**12592. Adulteration and misbranding of preserves. U. S. v. 5 Cases and 4 Cases of Preserves. Decree entered, ordering product released under bond to be relabeled.** (F. & D. No. 18451. I. S. Nos. 15372-v. 15373-v. S. No. E-4768.)

On March 11, 1924, the United States attorney for the District of New Hampshire, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 5 cases of raspberry preserves and 4 cases of assorted preserves, at Keene, N. H., alleging that the article had been shipped by F. P. Adams Co., from Boston, Mass., on or about December 3, 1923, and transported from the State of Massachusetts into the State of New Hampshire, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended. The article was labeled in part: "Monadnock Pure Food Raspberry Preserve" (or "Strawberry Preserve") "Net Weight 16 ozs."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that glucose had been mixed and packed with and substituted wholly or in part for the said article.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statements, "Pure * * * Jam," "Pure Food Net Weight 16 ozs. Made From Selected Fruit and Refined Sugar," "Strawberry Preserve," and "Raspberry Preserve," were false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package, and for the further reason that it was offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article.

On April 25, 1924, F. P. Adams Co. (Inc.), Boston, Mass., having appeared as claimant for the property and having executed a bond in the sum of $500, in conformity with section 10 of the act, it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and that it be relabeled in compliance with law.

HOWARD M. GORE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

**12593. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. North Montpelier Cooperative Creamery Co., a Corporation. Plea of guilty. Fine, $10.** (F. & D. No. 17930. I. S. No. 1883-v.)

On March 28, 1924, the United States attorney for the District of Vermont, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the North Montpelier Cooperative Creamery Co., a corporation, Plainfield, Vt., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the food and drugs act, on or about