

concealed, and for the further reason that it contained added poisonous or deleterious ingredients, to wit, salicylic acid and glucose, which rendered it injurious to health.

It was further alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded in violation of section 8 of the act, in that it was labeled with the intent of deceiving the public, and in that it was an imitation of and offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article.

On or about January 8, 1926, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of the court was entered, ordering that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14012. Adulteration and misbranding of butter. U. S. v. Yerington Creamery Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$75. (F. & D. No. 19677. I. S. Nos. 20193-v, 20508-v, 20509-v.)

On November 30, 1925, the United States attorney for the District of Nevada, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the Yerington Creamery Co., a corporation, Yerington, Nev., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the food and drugs act, in two consignments, namely, on or about January 16 and February 9, 1925, respectively, from the State of Nevada into the State of California, of quantities of butter which was adulterated and misbranded. A portion of the article was labeled in part: "Pasteurized Creamery Butter * * * From Yerington Creamery Mason, Nevada." The remainder of the said article was labeled in part: "Finest Creamery Butter."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a product which contained less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat as prescribed by law, which the said article purported to be.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statement, to wit, "Butter," borne on the packages containing the article, was false and misleading, in that the said statement represented that the article was butter, to wit, a product which should contain not less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat as prescribed by law, whereas it was a product which did not contain 80 per cent by weight of milk fat but did contain a less amount.

On December 17, 1925, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$75.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14013. Adulteration and misbranding of codeine sulphate tablets, quinine sulphate tablets, morphine sulphate tablets, strychnine nitrate tablets, and atropine sulphate tablets. U. S. v. the William A. Webster Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$30 and costs. (F. & D. No. 19244. I. S. Nos. 4628-v, 6705-v, 6775-v, 6777-v, 19207-v, 19208-v.)

On March 3, 1925, the United States attorney for the Western District of Tennessee, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the William A. Webster Co., a corporation, Memphis, Tenn., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the food and drugs act, in various consignments, namely, on or about July 19, 1923, from the State of Tennessee into the State of Illinois, of quantities of strychnine nitrate tablets and atropine sulphate tablets, respectively, on or about August 7, 1923, from the State of Tennessee into the State of Ohio, of a quantity of codeine sulphate tablets, and on or about September 15, 1923, and February 7, 1924, from the State of Tennessee into the State of Missouri, of quantities of quinine sulphate tablets and morphine sulphate tablets, respectively, which were adulterated and misbranded. The articles were labeled in part, variously: "Tablets Codeine Sulphate, 1-4 grain"; "Tablets Quinine Sulphate, 2 Grain"; "Tablets Morphine Sulphate, 1/8 gr." (or "1-2 Grain"); "Tablets Strychnine Nitrate Grain, 1/40 gr."; "Tablets Atropine Sulphate, 1-100 grain," and were further labeled, "The William A. Webster Co. * * * Memphis, Tenn."

Analysis by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department of samples of the articles showed that: The codeine sulphate tablets, labeled "1/4 grain," contained 3/14 grain of codeine sulphate per tablet; the quinine sulphate tablets, labeled "2 Grain," contained 2 1/4 grains of quinine sulphate per tablet; the morphine sulphate tablets labeled "1/8 gr." contained 3/16 grain