

ing misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended. The article was labeled in part: "Alfalfa Meal 100 Pounds When Packed Made By The Lamar Alfalfa Milling Company, Lamar Colorado."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was short weight, and was misbranded in that the statement "100 Pounds," borne on the label, was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package, since the statement made was not correct.

On April 4, 1929, the Lamar Alfalfa Milling Co., Lamar, Colo., having appeared as claimant for the property and having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,300, conditioned in part that the said sacks of alfalfa meal be relabeled with their correct weights.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

16438. Misbranding of poultry greens. U. S. v. 450 Sacks of Poultry Greens. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. Nos. 23775. I. S. No. 04280. S. No. 1977.)

On May 20, 1929, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 450 sacks of poultry greens, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Richmond, Va., alleging that the article had been shipped by the California Mealfalfa Co., Dixon, Calif., on or about February 11, and March 12, 1929, and transported from the State of California into the State of Virginia, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended. The article was labeled in part: "Mealfalfa Poultry Greers Guaranteed Aanlysis Protein 19% Min. Fibre 20% Max. Manufactured by California Mealfalfa Co., Dixon, Cal."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded in that the statements on the labels, "Guaranteed Analysis Protein 19% Min. Fibre 20% Max.," were false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the packages.

On June 3, 1929, the Carter Venable Co., Richmond, Va., having appeared as claimant for the property and having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,000, conditioned in part that it be relabeled under the supervision of this department.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

16439. Misbranding of fine ground alfalfa meal. U. S. v. 270 Sacks of Fine Ground Alfalfa Meal. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 23638. I. S. No. 06080. S. No. 1877.)

On or about April 19, 1929, the United States attorney for the District of Maryland, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 270 sacks of fine ground alfalfa meal, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Baltimore, Md., alleging that the article had been shipped by the California Hawaiian Milling Co., from San Francisco, Calif., March 29, 1929, and transported from the State of California into the State of Maryland, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: (Tag) "Fine Ground Alfalfa Meal * * * Crude Protein, not less than 16.00 * * * Manufactured by California Hawaiian Milling Co., * * * San Francisco, Cal."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded in that the statement "Crude Protein, not less than 16.00," borne on the label, was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser.

On May 6, 1929, the California Hawaiian Milling Co., San Francisco, Calif., having appeared as claimant for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a