15360. Adulteration of figs. U. S. v. 645 Boxes, et al., of Figs. Consen decrees of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released unde bond. (F. & D. Nos. 21881, 21900, 21933. I. S. Nos. 13781-x, 13786-: 13788-x. S. Nos. E-6105, E-6126, E-6139.)

On April 30, May 4, and May 23, 1927, respectively, the United States atto: ney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon reports by the Secr tary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for sai district libels praying seizure and condemnation of 2,618 boxes of figs, remai: ing in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that tl article had been shipped by Rosenberg Bros. & Co., in part from San Francisc Calif., and in part from Fresno, Calif., in various consignments, on or abo March 5, 7, 23, and May 10, 1927, respectively, and transported from the Sta of California into the State of New York, and charging adulteration in violati of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libels that the article was adulterated, in that it co sisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid vegetable substan

On August 13, 1927, Rosenberg Bros. & Co., San Francisco, Calif., and t Sawyer Biscuit Co., Inc., New York, N. Y., claimants for respective portio of the property, having admitted the allegations of the libels and having co sented to the entry of decrees, judgments of condemnation and forfeiture we entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to t said claimants upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the executi of bonds totaling $9,100, conditioned in part that the figs be used in t manufacture of tobacco.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture*

15361. Adulteration of figs. U. S. v. 2,000 Bags, et al., of Dried Figs. Co sent decrees of condemnation and forfeiture. Product releas under bond. (F. & D. Nos. 21824, 21880, 21910. I. S. Nos. 13777 13778-x, 13787-x. S. Nos. E-3283, E-6104, E-6128.)

On April 28 and 29, and May 11, 1927, respectively, the United States att ney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon reports by the Sec tary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for s district libels praying seizure and condemnation of 3,670 sacks of dried f remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleg that the article had been shipped by the California Seedless Raisin Co., in p from Oakland, Calif., and in part from Fresno, Calif., in various consignme between February 26, 1927, and March 23, 1927, and transported from State of California into the State of New York, and charging adulteratio violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libels that the article was adulterated, in that it sisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid vegetable stance, to wit, wormy, moldy, filthy, and sour figs.

On July 26, and August 23, 1927, respectively, James Kutsukian and Sawyer Biscuit Co., Inc., New York, N. Y., claimants for respective port of the property, having admitted the allegations of the libel and ha consented to the entry of decrees, judgments of condemnation and forfei were entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be release the said claimants upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and execution of bonds totaling $12,700, conditioned in part that it be used in manufacture of tobacco.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agricultu*

15362. Adulteration of figs. U. S. v. 1,000 Sacks, et al., of Dried F Product ordered released under bond. (F. & D. No. 21858. I. S. 17016-x, 17018-x. S. No. E-3284.)

On April 28, 1927, the United States attorney for the Southern Distri New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed i District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure condemnation of 1,500 sacks of dried figs, remaining in the original unb packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shippe the California Seedless Raisin Co., from Oakland, Calif., between the dat March 29, 1927, and April 4, 1927, and transported from the State of Calif into the State of New York, and charging adulteration in violation o food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated, in that it sisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid vegetable subs

On August 16, 1927, the California Seedless Raisin Co., Oakland, Calif., h appeared as claimant for the property, a decree was entered ordering tha