and condemnation of 180 sacks of cottonseed meal, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Chambersburg, Pa., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Home Oil Mills, from Decatur, Ala., on or about November 17, 1927, and had been transported from the State of Alabama into the State of Pennsylvania, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: "Helmet Brand Prime Cottonseed Meal. Protein (minimum) 41% Guaranteed Analysis."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was deficient in protein and was misbranded, in that the statement, borne on the sacks, "Prime Cottonseed Meal * * * Protein (minimum) 41% Guaranteed Analysis," was false and misleading and deceived and misled purchasers.

On January 11, 1928, the Ashcraft-Wilkinson Co., Atlanta, Ga., having appeared as claimant for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of $1,000, conditioned in part that it not be sold or shipped unless relabeled to show 38½ per cent content of protein.

W. M. JARDINE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

15526. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 21 Tubs of Butter. Decree of condemnation entered. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 21191. I. S. No. 5548-x. S. No. E-5783.)

On July 1, 1926, the United States attorney for the District of Massachusetts, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 21 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Boston, Mass., consigned about June 15, 1926, alleging that the article had been shipped by the Happy Land Creamery Co., Winter, Wis., and transported from the State of Wisconsin into the State of Massachusetts, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated, in that it was deficient in butterfat.

On July 14, 1926, the Wm. J. Haire Co., Boston, Mass., having appeared as claimant for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of $1,000, conditioned in part that it not be sold or otherwise disposed of contrary to law. It was further ordered by the court that the said product be reconditioned under the supervision of this department so that it contain at least 80 per cent of butterfat.

W. M. JARDINE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

15527. Adulteration of canned tonno (tuna). U. S. v. 11 Cases of Canned Tonno. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 22040. I. S. No. 15532-x. S. No. 87.)

On or about September 2, 1927, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Louisiana, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 11 cases of canned tonno (tuna), remaining in the original packages at New Orleans, La., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Kittle-Joerissen Canning Co., Inc., Terminal Island, Calif., on or about October 13, 1926, and transported from the State of California into the State of Louisiana, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: (Can) "Allegria Brand Tonno * * * Kittle-Joerissen Canning Co., Inc. Terminal Island, Cal."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated, in that it consisted in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal substance.

On September 21, 1927, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

W. M. JARDINE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

15528. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 92 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 22035. I. S. No. 14334-x. S. No. 64.)

On July 27, 1927, the United States attorney for the Western District of Kentucky, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and