* * * stiff neck or back, bronchial coughs, sore throat and chest colds. * * * ordinary sores * * * For tightness in chest due to colds, ordinary sore throat"; (Big Head liniment, large carton) "Big Head * * * A Remedy for Big Head, Sweeny, Fistula, Poll Evil, * * * Splint, * * * Spavin, Ring Hoof, * * * Sitfasts * * * good for human ailments, * * * A good remedy for Rheumatism, * * * Sciatica, Lumbago, Lame Back, * * * Soreness in the Chest, Side or Back and Cramps in the Muscles. Removes Soreness From * * * Bunions. * * * Big Head * * * remedy for human ailments, such as rheumatism, * * * sciatica, lumbago, lame back, stiff joints, * * * pains in the side and back, cramps in the muscles and sore throat. A fine remedy in treating pneumonia and stubborn coughs, when applied to the chest, will relieve the tightness and loosen up the cough * * * Big Head * * * in relieving the pain from rheumatism * * * Any one troubled with piles will find this Liniment an excellent remedy. It takes out the soreness and helps to remove the small tumors usually found in such cases [testimonials] 'I had a horse with the worst case of fistula I ever saw and doctored it with everything I knew of with no success, but after using Big Head Liniment the horse is now sound and well and am working him every day.' * * * 'No remedy I have ever used gives such good results for fistula and pollevil.' * * * It relieves croup and sore throat.' * * * 'I got excellent results from the Big Head Liniment on a horse with fistula of two years' standing, that had once been cut out by a veterinarian, also had a lame cow and two applications did the work.' * * * 'I have used your Big Head Liniment with great results on two cases fistula'"; (Big Head liniment, large size, circular) "Big Head * * * it simply takes a blister on most stock ailments to effect a cure. * * * For fistula and poll evil which are the same disease in character, * * * where the fistula is discharging, * * * Fistula and Pollevil are rather deep seated but the Big Head Liniment is very penetrating and brings the disease to the surface. * * * For Big Head, sweeny, splint, spavin, ring bone * * * for splint, spavin and ring bone, be sure to rub the enlargement briskly, * * * The Liniment will readily remove the soreness or lameness but it takes a little time and patience to remove the enlargement. * * * In treating sweeny and other lameness in the shoulders and front legs, * * * sit-fasts, * * * and lameness, * * * to effect a cure, some old cases might require 3 or more treatments but not often it happens. * * * for any ailment on man or beast"; (Big Head liniment, large bottle) "Big Head * * * A Remedy for Big Head, Sweeny, Fistula, Poll Evil, * * * Splint, * * * Spavin, Ring Hoof, * * * Sit-fasts * * * Sores of any kind. * * * Removes Soreness from * * * Bunions. * * * Big Head * * * Recommended * * * in treating muscular rheumatism and cramps, lumbago, sciatic, * * * pleurisy pains, * * * stiff neck or back, bronchial coughs, sore throat and chest colds. * * * ordinary sores * * * For tightness in chest due to colds, ordinary sore throat"; (Magic oil, carton) "For pains in the Bowels and Stomach, Palpitation or Smothering of the Heart, Acute Indigestion, Weak Back * * * Rheumatism. * * * for Sore Throat. Recommended for Croup * * * Toothache, Earache. * * * for Colic or Bots in horses"; (Magic oil, bottle) "Good for pains in the Bowels and Stomach. Palpitation or Smothering of the Heart, Weak Back, * * * and Rheumatism. * * * for Sore Throat * * * for Croup, * * * Toothache, Earache. * * * for Colic or Bots in Horses."

On October 25, 1932, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation was entered and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. G. TUGWELL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

20160. Misbranding of Biz. U.S. v. Henderson & Skipworth, a Corporation, and Harry A. Skipworth. Pleas of guilty. Fine, $50. (F. & D. No. 28045. I.S. No. 22191.)

Examination of the drug product on which this case was based disclosed that the article contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing certain curative and therapeutic effects claimed in the labeling. The article was represented to be safe, whereas it contained carbolic acid, a poison.

On September 7, 1932, the United States attorney for the Northern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in

the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid an information against Henderson & Skipworth, a corporation, trading at San Francisco, Calif., and Harry A. Skipworth, a citizen and resident of San Francisco, Calif., alleging shipment by said defendants in violation of the Food and Drugs Act as amended, on or about December 30, 1930, from the State of California into the State of Washington, of a quantity of a drug preparation known as Biz that was misbranded. The article was labeled in part: (Bottle) "Absolutely Guaranteed * * * Biz. Safe—Effective—Antiseptic * * * Henderson & Skipworth, San Francisco, California."

Analysis by this Department of a sample of the article showed that it consisted essentially of phenol, camphor, and alcohol (6.3 percent).

It was alleged in the information that the article was misbranded in that certain statements, designs, and devices, appearing on the bottle and carton labels and in an accompanying circular, falsely and fraudulently represented that it was effective to abort unsightly sores; that it was effective as a treatment for, and to relieve, ringworm, barber's or Cuban itch, and pimples, and to heal many local skin disorders; and was effective as a remedy for the treatment of ringworm, pimples, barber's itch, and other infections. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the statement "safe," borne on the carton and bottle labels, was false and misleading, since the said statement represented that the article contained no harmful ingredients, whereas it was not safe, in that it contained poison.

On September 29, 1932, the defendant Harry A. Skipworth entered pleas of guilty on behalf of himself and the defendant corporation, and the court imposed a fine of $50.

R. G. TUGWELL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

20161. Misbranding of Lambert's powders. U.S. v. 12 Small Packages, et al., of Lambert's Powders. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. & D. No. 28970. Sample No. 2734-A.)

Examination of the drug preparation involved in this case disclosed that the article contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing certain curative and therapeutic effects claimed in the labeling. It was also stated on the label that the article contained no injurious drug, whereas it contained acetanilid, a drug which might be harmful. The small envelopes containing the article bore no declaration of the quantity of acetanilid, and the declaration on the outside packages was very inconspicuous.

On September 30, 1932, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Iowa, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 12 small packages and 14 large packages of Lambert's powders, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Sioux City, Iowa, alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about April 25, 1931, by Lambert's, Inc. (Laboratories), from Minneapolis, Minn., to Sioux City, Iowa, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by this Department showed that it consisted essentially of acetanilid (2.91 grains), acetylsalicylic acid (8.98 grains) and salol (2.42 grains), in each powder.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded in that the statement on the box wrapper, "Positively Do Not Contain * * * Or any Other * * * Or Injurious Drugs", was false and misleading, since the article contained acetanilid, an injurious drug. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the label on the individual envelope failed to bear a declaration of the quantity or proportion of acetanilid contained in the article, and the acetanilid declaration on the box wrapper was very inconspicuous. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the following statements regarding the curative or therapeutic effects of the article were false and fraudulent: (Box wrapper) "For the General Relief of Pain, especially Rheumatism * * * Grippe, * * * in severe cases"; (envelope) "For relief of Rheumatism * * * Etc."

On November 1, 1932, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation was entered and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. G. TUGWELL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*