

On August 10, 1935, the United States attorney for the Western District of Michigan, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against Herman W. Ullsperger and Adolph M. Christensen, trading as Onekama Packing Co., Onekama, Mich., charging shipment by said defendants, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about July 26, 1934, from the State of Michigan into the State of Missouri, of a quantity of canned cherries which were misbranded. The article was labeled in part: "Pallas Brand [design showing red, ripe cherries] Contents 1 Lb. 4 oz. Pitted Red Cherries Ridenour Baker Founded 1858 Ridenour-Baker Grocery Co. Distributors Kansas City, Mo."

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that it was canned food and fell below the standard of quality and condition promulgated by the Secretary of Agriculture for such canned food and the label did not bear the plain and conspicuous statement prescribed by the Secretary of Agriculture, indicating that the article fell below such standard, that is to say, the cherries were packed in water and the cans were not labeled with the statement "Water pack cherries."

On August 15, 1935, the defendants entered pleas of guilty to the information and the court imposed a fine of \$50 against each defendant.

R. G. TUGWELL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**25271. Adulteration and misbranding of candy. U. S. v. Willard B. Casterline (Casterline Bros.). Plea of guilty. Fine, \$15 and costs. (F. & D. no. 34018. Sample no. 65814-A.)**

This case was based on an interstate shipment of candy which contained spirituous liquor, and which was misrepresented as not being a confection, and the packages of which failed to bear a statement of the quantity of the contents.

On June 6, 1935, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against Willard B. Casterline, trading as Casterline Bros., Chicago, Ill., charging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about February 6, 1935, from the State of Illinois into the State of Missouri, of a quantity of candy which was adulterated and misbranded.

The article was labeled: "5¢ A Shot Not A Confection Not To Be Sold To Minors. [Designs: Man in tuxedo suit holding up piece of chocolate; a glass with liquor] Genuine Liquor Filled Chocolates A finger for a Nickel Real Tax Paid Whiskey. Blended under Government supervision. A product of Casterline Bros., 4541 Ravenswood Ave., Chicago. Pure Chocolate. The Economic Drink, Guaranteed Pure."

The article was alleged to be adulterated within the meaning of the act, in the case of confectionery, in that it contained spirituous liquor.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the statement "Not A Confection", borne on the label, was false and misleading, and in that by reason of the said statement the article was labeled so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser, since the statement represented that the article was not a confection; whereas in fact it was a confection. Misbranding of the article was alleged further in that it was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not marked plainly and conspicuously, or at all, on the outside of the package.

On October 22, 1935, the defendant entered a plea of guilty and the court imposed a fine of \$15 and costs.

R. G. TUGWELL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**25272. Adulteration and misbranding of candy. U. S. v. Cosmopolitan Candy Co., Inc. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25 and costs. (F. & D. no. 34020. Sample nos. 65036-A, 65330-A, 65331-A, 65332-A, 65333-A.)**

This case was based on interstate shipments of candy which contained spirituous liquor, and which was misrepresented as not being a confection, and the packages of which failed to bear a statement of the weight of the contents.

On June 6, 1935, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against the Cosmopolitan Candy Co., a corporation, Chicago, Ill., charging shipment by said corporation, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about January 23, and February 6, 1934, from the State of Illinois into the States of Indiana and Michigan, of quantities of candy which was adulterated and misbranded. The article was labeled: "Cordials (Not A Confection) Twenty-four Pieces Made in U. S. A."

The article was alleged to be adulterated within the meaning of the act, in case of confectionery, in that it contained spirituous liquor.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the statement, "Not A Confection", borne on the label, was false and misleading, and in that by reason of said statement the article was labeled so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser, since it represented that the article was not a confection, whereas in fact it was a confection. Misbranding was alleged further in that it was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package, since the statement, "Twenty-four Pieces", borne on the package, did not give accurate information as to the quantity in terms of weight.

On November 25, 1935, a plea of guilty was entered on behalf of the defendant corporation and the court imposed a fine of \$25 and costs.

R. G. TUGWELL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**25273. Adulteration and misbranding of candy. U. S. v. Henry F. Schulze (Schulze Candy Co.). Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25. (F. & D. no. 34021. Sample nos. 41229-A, 41230-A, 41231-A, 41232-A, 66851-A.)**

This case was based on interstate shipments of candy which contained spirituous liquor, and which was misrepresented as not being a confection, and the packages of which failed to bear a statement of the weight of the contents.

On June 6, 1935, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against Henry F. Schulze, trading as Schulze Canning Co., Oak Park, Ill., charging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drug Act, on or about January 16 and March 2, 1934, from the State of Illinois into the States of Minnesota and New Mexico, of quantities of candy, which was adulterated and misbranded. The packages of the article in the first of the two consignments were labeled, variously, as follows: (One lot) "Napoleon Cordials 18 Pieces Cordial—Not a Confection. All government taxes have been paid on this merchandise"; (a second lot) "Chokicks Cordial (Not a Confection) Five Pieces, 25¢ Made in U. S. A. All government taxes have been paid on this merchandise"; (a third lot) "1 Lb. Net." The packages in a fourth lot of this consignment were unlabeled except a rose design on the lid of the package. The packages of the article in the second consignment were labeled as follows: (One lot) "Cordials Cordial (Not a Confection) Twenty-four Pieces Made in U. S. A."; (a second lot) "Chokicks Cordials Not a Confection Tower Products 629 W. Marquette Road Chicago Phone Normal 1086 Twenty-four Pieces Made in U. S. A."

The article in both of the consignments was alleged to be adulterated within the meaning of the act, in case of confectionery, in that it contained spirituous liquor.

The article in the first and second lots of the first consignment and in both of the lots of the second consignment was alleged to be misbranded in that the statement "Not a Confection", borne on the labels, was false and misleading, and in that by reason of said statement the article was labeled so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser, since it represented that the article was not a confection; whereas in fact the article was a confection. Misbranding of the article in two consignments was alleged further in that it was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package, since a number of the packages (in the fourth and unlabeled lot of the first consignment) each bore no statement as to the quantity of the contents of the package, and the statement of the number of pieces in the labeled packages in the first and second lots of the first consignment and in both lots of the second consignment did not give accurate information as to the quantity in terms of weight.

On October 16, 1935, the defendant entered a plea of guilty and the court imposed a fine of \$25.

R. G. TUGWELL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**25274. Adulteration and misbranding of candy. U. S. v. New Deal Wholesale Liquor Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25. (F. & D. no. 34023. Sample nos. 50541-A, 65334-A, 65335-A, 65336-A, 65337-A, 65338-A, 65339-A.)**

This case was based on interstate shipments of candy which contained spirituous liquor, and which was misrepresented as not being a confection, and the packages of which failed to bear a statement of the weight of the contents.

On June 6, 1935, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed an information against the New Deal Wholesale Liquor Co., a corporation, Forest Park, Ill., charging shipment by said corporation, in violation of the Food and Drug