ments of the standard with respect to freedom from tough peas; 2 of the 3 lots were further substandard in quality because they contained in excess of 21 percent of alcohol-insoluble solids, the maximum permitted by the standard for canned sweet peas.

DISPOSITION: May 14, 1946. A plea of guilty having been entered, a fine of $100 was imposed.

9954. Misbranding of canned peas. U. S. v. 574 Cases of Canned Peas. Decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond. (F. D. C. No. 20027. Sample No. 59650-H.)

LIBEL FILED: May 22, 1946, Western District of Pennsylvania.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about October 2, 1945, by the Stokely Canning Co., Inc., from Norwalk, Ohio.

PRODUCT: 574 cases, each containing 24 1-pound, 4-ounce cans, of peas, at Greensburg, Pa.

LABEL, IN PART: "Our Favorite Brand Sifted Early Peas."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (h) (1), the product was below standard.

DISPOSITION: June 19, 1946. Stokely-Van Camp, Inc., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered released under bond to be relabeled under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration.

9955. Misbranding of canned peas. U. S. v. 418 Cases of Canned Peas. Consent decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond. (F. D. C. No. 19798. Sample No. 14362-H.)

LIBEL FILED: April 30, 1946, Southern District of Ohio.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about November 1, 1945, by Campbell Holton and Co., Bloomington, Ill.

PRODUCT: 418 cases, each containing 24 1-pound, 4-ounce cans, of peas at Cambridge, Ohio.

LABEL, IN PART: "Camel Brand Early June Peas."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (h) (1), the product was below standard.

DISPOSITION: June 11, 1946. The Walter English Co., Columbus, Ohio, claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered released under bond to be relabeled under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration.

9956. Misbranding of canned peas. U. S. v. 276 Cases of Canned Peas. Consent decree of forfeiture. Product ordered released under bond. (F. D. C. No. 20600. Sample No. 53033-H.)

LIBEL FILED: July 31, 1946, Northern District of Ohio.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about October 12, 1944, by the Elkhart Lake Canning Co., from Elkhart Lake, Wis.

PRODUCT: 276 cases, each containing 24 1-pound, 4-ounce cans, of peas at Cleveland, Ohio.

LABEL, IN PART: "Myrna Brand Extra Sifted Early June Peas."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (h) (1), the product was below standard.

DISPOSITION: August 27, 1946. The Elkhart Lake Canning Company, claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of forfeiture was entered and the product was ordered released under bond to be relabeled under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration.

9957. Misbranding of canned peas. U. S. v. 93 Cases and 129 Cases of Canned Peas. Default decree of condemnation. Product ordered sold. (F. D. C. No. 19862. Sample Nos. 51150-H, 51151-H.)

LIBEL FILED: May 10, 1946, District of North Dakota.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about November 9, 1945, by the St. Cloud Products Association, St. Cloud, Minn.

PRODUCT: 222 cases, each containing 24 1-pound, 4-ounce cans, of peas at Grand Forks, N. Dak.

LABEL, IN PART: "Granite City Brand * * * Minnesota Early June Peas," or "Golden Valley Early June Peas."