All products. Misbranding, Section 403 (a), they were alleged to be further misbranded because of misleading representations appearing in their labeling. These representations were alleged to be misleading because the articles would not be capable of fulfilling the promises of benefit stated and implied. The nature of the misleading representations and extracts from the labels are reported in notices of judgment on drugs and devices, No. 2224.

DISPOSITION: December 31, 1946. No claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the products were ordered destroyed.

11848. Adulteration of Cal-Par. U. S. v. 17 Packages * * *. (F. D. C. No. 19993. Sample No. 6514–H.)

LIBEL FILED: June 5, 1946, District of New Jersey.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about February 24, 1946, by the Hood Products Corp., also known as the Cal-Par Corp., from New York, N. Y.

PRODUCT: 17 1-pound packages of Cal-Par at Jersey City, N. J.

LABEL, IN PART: "Cal-Par Calcium-Phosphorus-Iron and Vitamins B_1–D."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration. Section 402 (a) (3), the article consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance by reason of the presence of larvae, insect fragments, and rodent hair fragments; and, Section 402 (a) (4), it had been prepared under insanitary conditions whereby it may have become contaminated with filth.

The libel alleged also that another product known as Hood-Lax was adulterated under the provisions of the law applicable to drugs, as reported in notices of judgment on drugs and devices, No. 2013.

DISPOSITION: October 28, 1946. No claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

11849. Misbranding of Neo-Enzymes Plain and Neo-Enzymes With Laxative. U. S. v. B. Sanders Wilson (Wilco Laboratories). Plea of guilty. Fine, $200 and costs. (F. D. C. No. 20120. Sample Nos. 28399–H, 28400–H.)

INFORMATION FILED: August 28, 1946, Northern District of Illinois, against B. Sanders Wilson, trading as Wilco Laboratories, Chicago, Ill.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about March 30, 1945, from the State of Illinois into the State of Washington.

LABEL, IN PART: "Neo-Enzymes Plain A Nutritional Supplement," or "Neo-Enzymes With Laxative An Aid In Digesting Starch, Fats and Proteins Waste Eliminant."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (j), the article purported to be, and was represented, for special dietary uses by man by reason of its vitamin properties in respect of the factors of the B-Complex; and its label did not bear, as required by regulations, a statement of the proportion of the minimum daily requirements for vitamin B_1 and vitamin B_2 which would be supplied by the article when consumed in a specified quantity during a period of one day, and it did not bear, as required by the regulations, a statement of the quantity of niacin in a specified quantity of the article.

The information contained 4 counts, 2 counts charging violation of the law under the provisions of the act relating to foods, and 2 counts charging misbranding under the provisions of the act applicable to drugs. The latter are reported in notices of judgment on drugs and devices, No. 2119.

DISPOSITION: January 9, 1947. The defendant having entered a plea of guilty, the court imposed a fine of $200 on each count. On January 16, 1947, the fine was reduced to $100 on each count.

11850. Misbranding of Boncquet Tablets. U. S. v. 22 Bottles, etc. (F. D. C. No. 19735. Sample No. 23395–H.)

LIBEL FILED: May 1, 1946, Eastern District of Missouri.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about February 16, 1946, by Boncquet Laboratories, from Glendale, Calif.

PRODUCT: 22 75-tablet bottles and 9 200-tablet bottles of Boncquet Tablets, and a number of circulars entitled "Good News for Tired, Head-Achy Run-Down Men and Women."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (a), certain statements and designs in the labeling were false and misleading.