FEEDS AND GRAINS

12684. Misbranding of alfalfa meal. U. S. v. AAA Alfalfa Milling Co. Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, $100. (F. D. C. No. 23579. Sample No. 72245–H.)

INFORMATION FILED: October 6, 1947, District of New Mexico, against the AAA Alfalfa Milling Co., a partnership, Roswell, N. Mex.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about July 18, 1946, from the State of New Mexico into the State of Kansas.

LABEL, IN PART: "17% Dehydrated Alfalfa Meal * * * Guaranteed Analysis * * * Fiber, not more than 27% * * * 100 Lbs. Net."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the label statement "Fiber, not more than 27%" was false and misleading, since the article contained more than 27 percent of fiber; and, Section 403 (e) (2), the article failed to bear a label containing an accurate statement of the quantity of the contents. (The bags were short-weight.)

DISPOSITION: October 27, 1947. A plea of nolo contendere having been entered, the defendant was fined $100.

12685. Misbranding of cottonseed meal. U. S. v. Chickasha Cotton Oil Co. (Altus Cotton Oil Mill). Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, $100. (F. D. C. No. 23613. Sample No. 33293–H.)

INFORMATION FILED: November 3, 1947, Western District of Oklahoma, against the Chickasha Cotton Oil Co., a corporation, trading as the Altus Cotton Oil Mill, at Altus, Okla.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about March 5, 1947, from the State of Oklahoma into the State of Texas.

LABEL, IN PART: "Chickasha Quality Brand 43% Protein Cottonseed Meal * * * Guaranteed Analysis * * * Crude Protein not less than 43.00 Per Cent."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the label statement "Guaranteed Analysis * * * Crude Protein not less than 43.00 Per Cent" was false and misleading, since the product contained less than 43 percent of crude protein.

DISPOSITION: January 7, 1948. A plea of nolo contendere having been entered on behalf of the defendant, a fine of $100 was imposed.

12686. Misbranding of cottonseed cake and meal. U. S. v. The Southern Cotton Oil Company. Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, $25. (F. D. C. No. 23596. Sample No. 86135–H.)

INFORMATION FILED: October 15, 1947, Eastern District of Arkansas, against The Southern Cotton Oil Co., Little Rock, Ark.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about April 14, 1947, from the State of Arkansas into the State of Kansas.

LABEL, IN PART: "Navy Brand * * * Manufactured for Louis Tobian & Company, Dallas, Texas Guaranteed Analysis Crude Protein, not less than 41.00%."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the label statement "Crude Protein, not less than 41.00%" was false and misleading, since the product contained less than 41 percent of crude protein.

DISPOSITION: November 4, 1947. A plea of nolo contendere having been entered, the defendant was fined $25 and ordered to make restitution for the percentage of protein deficiency.

12687. Misbranding of peanut meal. U. S. v. Stevens Industries, Inc. (Dawson Cotton Oil Company). Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, $200. (F. D. C. No. 23330. Sample No. 72345–H.)

INFORMATION FILED: August 15, 1947, Middle District of Georgia, against Stevens Industries, Inc., trading as the Dawson Cotton Oil Company, Dawson, Ga.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about April 2, 1947, from the State of Georgia into the State of Maryland.

LABEL, IN PART: "Georgia Brand 41% Protein Peanut Meal * * * Manufactured for The Boswell Company Atlanta, Ga. Guaranteed Analysis Crude Protein, not less than . . . 41.00% * * * Crude Fibre, not more than . . . 16.00%."