and the court ordered that the product be released under bond to be used in the manufacture of a chocolate-flavored cornstarch dessert, under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration.

SIRUP

19219. Adulteration and misbranding of sorghum sirup. U. S. v. 136 Cans, etc. (F. D. C. No. 32920. Sample No. 34248–L.)

LIBEL FILED: March 31, 1952, Western District of Tennessee.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about September 25, 1951, by B. F. Amis, from Conehatta, Miss.

PRODUCT: 136 unlabeled 1-gallon cans of sirup and a number of accompanying labels at Munford, Tenn.

LABEL, IN PART: "Sorghum Produced By Quincy Bright Conehatta, Miss."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), a mixture of sorghum, corn sirup, and sugar had been substituted in whole or in part for sorghum.

Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the label designation "Sorghum" was false and misleading.

DISPOSITION: May 7, 1952. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (Post-seizure examination disclosed that the product had become unfit for human consumption because of spoilage.)

19220. Adulteration and misbranding of sorghum sirup. U. S. v. 51 Jars * * *. (F. D. C. No. 32960. Sample No. 13985–L.)

LIBEL FILED: March 18, 1952, District of New Mexico.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about January 14, 1952, by J. L. Kimbell, from Oklahoma City, Okla.

PRODUCT: 51 1-gallon jars of sirup at Albuquerque, N. Mex.

LABEL, IN PART: "East Texas, Sorghum Syrup Made by H. D. Knight Sulphur Springs, Texas Weight 5 Pounds."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), a mixture of sorghum sirup and corn sirup had been substituted in whole or in part for sorghum sirup.

Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the label statement "Sorghum Syrup" was false and misleading; and, Section 403 (e) (2), the product failed to bear a label containing an accurate statement of the quantity of the contents since the jars contained less than the labeled 5 pounds.

DISPOSITION: April 21, 1952. Default decree of condemnation. The court ordered that the product be delivered to a charitable institution.

19221. Misbranding of sorghum sirup. U. S. v. 108 Cans * * *. (F. D. C. No. 33121. Sample No. 34247–L.)

LIBEL FILED: May 7, 1952, Western District of Tennessee.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about November 15, 1951, by M. Dawson, from Springdale, Ark.

PRODUCT: 108 ½-gallon cans of sirup at Gates, Tenn.

LABEL, IN PART: "Dawson's Sorghum Syrup Made From Sorghum Cane, Enriched With Cane Sugar and Glucose."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the label designation "Sorghum Syrup" was false and misleading since the product consisted of a mixture of sorghum, corn sirup, and sugar sirup.

DISPOSITION: June 25, 1952. Default decree of condemnation. The court ordered that the product be delivered to a public institution.

19222. Adulteration and misbranding of sorghum molasses. U. S. v. 36 Cans, etc. (F. D. C. No. 32918. Sample No. 34245–L.)

LIBEL FILED: March 31, 1952, Western District of Tennessee.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about January 26, 1952, by Buck Hillman, from Conehatta, Miss.

PRODUCT: 36 1-gallon cans and 6 ½-gallon cans of molasses at Bells, Tenn.

LABEL, IN PART: "Newton County, Mississippi Honey Drip Sorghum Molasses."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), a mixture of sorghum, corn sirup, and sugar had been substituted in whole or in part for sorghum molasses.

Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the label statement "Sorghum Molasses" was false and misleading.

DISPOSITION: June 5, 1952. Default decree of condemnation. The court ordered that the product be delivered to a charitable institution.

19223. Misbranding of sorghum sirup. U. S. v. 9 Cases, etc. (F. D. C. No. 33077. Sample No. 34256–L.)

LIBEL FILED: April 22, 1952, Western District of Tennessee.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about January 15, 1952, from Thrasher, Miss.

PRODUCT: 24 cases, each containing 12 4½-pound cans, of sirup at Martin, Tenn.

LABEL, IN PART: (15 cases) "Honey Drip Sorghum Flavored And Blended Contains: Corn Syrup, Sugar Syrup and Cane Syrup."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Unlabeled portion. Misbranding, Sections 403 (e) (1) and (2), the product failed to bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor, and an accurate statement of the quantity of the contents; Section 403 (i) (1), it failed to bear a label containing the common or usual name of the food; and, Section 403 (i) (2), it was fabricated from two or more ingredients, and it failed to bear a label containing the common or usual name of each ingredient.

Labeled portion. Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the label statement "Honey Drip Sorghum" was false and misleading since the product consisted of a mixture of sugar sirup and corn sirup with approximately 10 percent cane or sorghum sirup.

The product was misbranded while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce.

DISPOSITION: May 29, 1952. Default decree of condemnation. The court ordered that the product be delivered to a public institution.