

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about September 30, 1952, by Albert W. Sisk & Son, from Cambridge, Md.

PRODUCT: 1,200 cases, each containing 24 1-pound cans, of tomatoes at Nashville, Tenn.

LABEL, IN PART: (Can) "Pine Cone Brand Tomatoes."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the product consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance by reason of the presence of fly eggs and maggots.

DISPOSITION: March 9, 1953. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

20084. Adulteration of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 962 Cases * * *. (F. D. C. No. 34158. Sample No. 54340-L.)

LIBEL FILED: November 20, 1952, District of Delaware.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about October 29, 1952, by Thomas Roberts & Co., Inc., from Detroit, Mich.

PRODUCT: 962 cases, each containing 24 1-pound, 3-ounce cans, of tomatoes at Frederica, Del.

LABEL, IN PART: (Can) "Pride Of The Farm Brand."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the product consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance by reason of the presence of fly eggs and maggots, and of a decomposed substance by reason of the presence of decomposed tomato material.

DISPOSITION: February 11 and March 3, 1953. Default decree of condemnation. The court ordered that the product be delivered to a State institution, for use as animal feed.

20085. Misbranding of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 890 Cases * * *. (F. D. C. No. 34515. Sample No. 34765-L.)

LIBEL FILED: December 29, 1952, Eastern District of Arkansas.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about August 20, 1952, by Roberts Bros., Inc., from Baltimore, Md.

PRODUCT: 890 cases, each containing 24 15½-ounce cans, of tomatoes at Eudora, Ark.

LABEL, IN PART: (Can) "Roberts Big R Brand."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (h) (1), the product fell below the standard of quality for canned tomatoes since it contained excessive peel and the label failed to bear a statement that the product fell below such standard.

DISPOSITION: January 19, 1953. The shipper, claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the product be released under bond to be relabeled under the supervision of the Federal Security Agency.

20086. Misbranding of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 478 Cases * * *. (F. D. C. No. 34419. Sample No. 69193-L.)

LIBEL FILED: December 11, 1952, District of New Mexico.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about September 19, 1952, by the Valley Canning Co., from Canutillo, Tex.

PRODUCT: 478 cases, each containing 24 1-pound, 3-ounce cans, of tomatoes at Albuquerque, N. Mex.

LABEL, IN PART: (Can) "Valley Brand Tomatoes."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (h) (1), the product fell below the standard of quality for canned tomatoes since it contained excessive peel and did not meet the requirements for color, and the label failed to bear a statement that the product fell below the standard.

DISPOSITION: January 14, 1953. The Valley Canning Co., claimant, having admitted the allegations in the libel, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the product be released under bond to be relabeled under the supervision of the Federal Security Agency.

20087. Misbranding of canned tomatoes. U. S. v. 299 Cases * * *. (F. D. C. No. 34226. Sample No. 3262-L.)

LIBEL FILED: November 17, 1952, Eastern District of Wisconsin.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about November 1, 1952, by the Lord-Mott Co., from Baltimore, Md.

PRODUCT: 299 cases, each containing 48 10-ounce cans, of tomatoes at Milwaukee, Wis.

LABEL, IN PART: (Can) "Iona Tomatoes."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (h) (1), the quality of the article fell below the standard of quality for canned tomatoes because of excessive tomato peel, and the label failed to bear a statement that the article fell below such standard.

DISPOSITION: March 13, 1953. W. H. Roberts & Co., Baltimore, Md., claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the product be released under bond for relabeling under the supervision of the Federal Security Agency.

20088. Adulteration of tomato juice. U. S. v. 142 Cases * * *. (F. D. C. No. 34493. Sample No. 55259-L.)

LIBEL FILED: December 15, 1952, Western District of Pennsylvania.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about October 7, 1952, by the Growers & Packers Coop. Canning Co., from North Collins, N. Y.

PRODUCT: 142 cases, each containing 24 cans, of tomato juice at Erie, Pa.

LABEL, IN PART: (Can) "Red & White Brand Tomato Juice Contents 1 Quart 14 Flu. Oz."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the product consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance by reason of the presence of decomposed tomato material.

DISPOSITION: January 23, 1953. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

20089. Adulteration of tomato juice. U. S. v. 94 Cases * * *. (F. D. C. No. 34440. Sample No. 4144-L.)

LIBEL FILED: On or about December 17, 1952, District of Maryland.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about September 24, 1952, by the Winorr Canning Co., from Circleville, Ohio.

PRODUCT: 94 cases, each containing 24 1-pint, 2-fluid-ounce cans, of tomato juice at Baltimore, Md.