

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), corn sirup and sugar had been substituted in part for sorghum.

Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the statements "Pure Cane Sorghum \* \* \* Made From Pure Cane Juice," borne on the loose labels, were false and misleading as applied to an article consisting of a mixture of sorghum, corn sirup, and sugar.

**DISPOSITION:** October 18, 1950. Default decree of condemnation. The court ordered that the product be delivered to a charitable institution.

**16925. Adulteration and misbranding of sorghum sirup. U. S. v. 23 Cases**  
\* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 29228. Sample No. 51483-K.)

**LIBEL FILED:** May 24, 1950, Southern District of Indiana.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about December 8, 1949, by L. W. Lansaw, from Joplin, Mo.

**PRODUCT:** 23 cases, each containing 12 4½-pound cans, of sorghum sirup at Boonville, Ind.

**LABEL, IN PART:** (Can) "Pure Benton County Sorghum Made And Sold By Sunny Slope Farm \* \* \* Holladay, Tenn. R. F. D. 2."

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (2), a commercial glucose sirup had been substituted in whole or in part for sorghum.

Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the label statement "Sorghum" was false and misleading as applied to a commercial glucose sirup.

**DISPOSITION:** September 5, 1950. Default decree of forfeiture. The court ordered that the product be delivered to a State institution.

### SUGAR

**16926. Adulteration of sugar. U. S. v. 200 Sacks, etc. (and 1 other seizure action).** (F. D. C. Nos. 29575, 29585. Sample Nos. 35610-K, 35616-K.)

**LIBELS FILED:** September 11 and 13, 1950, Northern District of California.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about August 21 and 25, 1950, by Sugarman Bros., from Seattle, Wash.

**PRODUCT:** Sugar. 200 50-pound sacks, 800 100-pound sacks, and 43,960 pounds of sugar in 1,090 sacks, at San Francisco, Calif. The product had been damaged by water during a fire on shipboard.

**NATURE OF CHARGE:** Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), a portion of the product consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance by reason of its fermentation. All of the product was unfit for food by reason of its offensive odor.

**DISPOSITION:** October 3, 1950. Harry Sugarman, trading as Sugarman Bros., San Francisco, Calif., claimant, having consented to the entry of decrees, judgments of condemnation were entered and the court ordered that the product be released under bond for reconditioning and cleaning, under the supervision of the Federal Security Agency. Reconditioning resulted in the recovery of 74,244 pounds from a gross weight of 87,380 pounds.